



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SCIENCE.

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE: S. NEWCOMB, Mathematics; R. S. WOODWARD, Mechanics; E. C. PICKERING, Astronomy; T. C. MENDENHALL, Physics; R. H. THURSTON, Engineering; IRA REMSEN, Chemistry; JOSEPH LE CONTE, Geology; W. M. DAVIS, Physiography; O. C. MARSH, Paleontology; W. K. BROOKS, Invertebrate Zoölogy; C. HART MERRIAM, Vertebrate Zoölogy; N. L. BRITTON, Botany; HENRY F. OSBORN, General Biology; H. P. BOWDITCH, Physiology; J. S. BILLINGS, Hygiene; J. MCKEEN CATTELL, Psychology; DANIEL G. BRINTON, J. W. POWELL, Anthropology.

FRIDAY, MARCH 15, 1895.

CONTENTS:

<i>The Plant Individual in the Light of Evolution:</i> L. H. BAILEY	281
<i>Current Notes on Physiography (III.):</i> W. M. DAVIS	292
<i>The New York Meeting of the Association of American Anatomists.</i>	295
<i>Correspondence:—</i>	297
<i>A Card Catalogue of Scientific Literature:</i> HENRY ALFRED TODD. <i>Pithecanthropus erectus:</i> HARRISON ALLEN.	299
<i>Scientific Literature:—</i>	299
<i>W. Slingo and A. Brooker's Electrical Engineering:</i> F. B. CROCKER. <i>Physiological Physics:</i> WILLIAM HALLOCK. <i>Mathematics. Meteorology:</i> A. L. ROTCH.	303
<i>Notes and News:—</i>	303
<i>Entomology; General.</i>	304
<i>Societies and Academies:—</i>	304
<i>The Biological Society of Washington; The New York Academy of Sciences; National Geographical Society; Philosophical Society of Washington; Boston Society of Natural History.</i>	308
<i>Scientific Journals</i>	308
<i>New Books</i>	308

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to the responsible editor, Prof. J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison on Hudson, N. Y.
Subscriptions and advertisements should be sent to SCIENCE, 41 N. Queen St., Lancaster, Pa., or 41 East 49th St., New York.

THE PLANT INDIVIDUAL IN THE LIGHT OF EVOLUTION.*

THE PHILOSOPHY OF BUD-VARIATION, AND ITS BEARING UPON WEISMANNISM.

I.

WHILST the animal and vegetable kingdoms originate at a common point and are not clearly distinguishable in a number of

* Address before the Biological Society of Washington, Jan. 12, 1895.

the lower groups or organic beings, they nevertheless diverge rapidly and they finally become very unlike. I believe that we shall find that this divergence into two coördinate branches of organic nature is brought about by the operation of at least two fundamentally distinct laws. There is a most unfortunate tendency, at the present time, to attempt to account for all phenomena of evolution upon some single hypothesis which the observer may think to be operative in the particular group of animals or plants which he may be studying. For myself, I cannot believe that all forms of life are the results of any one law. It is possible that all recent explanations of evolution contain more or less truth, and that one of them may have been the cause of certain developments, whilst others have been equally fundamentally important in other groups of organisms. If I were a zoölogist, and particularly an entomologist, I should hold strongly to the views of Lamarck; but, being a horticulturist, I must accept largely, for the objects which come within the range of my vision, the principles of Darwin. In other words, I believe that both Lamarckism and Darwinism are true; and, in this connection, it is significant to observe that Lamarck propounded his theory from studies of animals, whilst Darwin was first led to his theory from observations of plants. I am willing to admit, also, at least for the sake of argu-